

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,274	HAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) _____.

 (2) Stefan Koschmieder.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,6,7,9,19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability, Part II above.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Koschmieder.

The amendment to claim 1 was made given the examiner's position that there was confusion in the scope of the claim given that the claim recited both "adding water and/or an organic solvent to the resulting kneaded mixture" and "wherein only water is added to the resulting mixture" and to make clear that only water is added to the resulting kneaded mixture in step (B). Similar amendment is made to claim 19 to make clear that only organic solvent is added to the resulting kneaded mixture in step (B).

The amendment to each of claims 6, 7, and 9 was made so that each claim recites proper Markush language.